IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

GARY ARLAND MITCHELL,)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No. 2:03-CV-359 PGC
V •)	
MICHAEL CHABRIES et al.,)	ORDER
Defendants.)	

Plaintiff, Gary Arland Mitchell, an inmate at the Utah State Prison, filed this pro se civil rights suit under 42 U.S.C. §

1983. See 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 (West 2006). Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 was granted.

See 28 id. § 1915. Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for an Emergency Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction.

Plaintiff moves for a restraining order prohibiting Tammy

Garner or her "employers," from retaliating against Plaintiff for

filing this lawsuit. Plaintiff also seeks a preliminary

injunction requiring (1) return of all Plaintiff's legal

materials; (2) a housing transfer back to his previous facility;

(3) restoration of privileges such as phone calls, out-of-cell

time, hot meals and recreation; and, (4) return of confiscated

personal property.

To obtain a temporary restraining order or a preliminary injunction in federal court, the movant has the burden of establishing that:

(1) the party will suffer irreparable injury unless the injunction issues; (2) the threatened injury to the moving party outweighs whatever damage the proposed injunction may cause the opposing party; (3) the injunction, if issued, would not be adverse to the public interest; and (4) there is a substantial likelihood that the moving party will eventually prevail on the merits.

Resolution Trust Corp. v. Cruce, 972 F.2d 1195, 1198 (10th Cir. 1992).

Plaintiff's motion does not satisfy this heavy burden.

Plaintiff's allegations of retaliation are vague and conclusory at best. In addition, Plaintiff's motion seeks relief from persons or entities who are no longer parties to this lawsuit.

And, finally, the relief sought is unrelated to the claims remaining before the Court. If Plaintiff wishes to challenge his housing conditions, legal access, or privilege level, he must first exhaust his administrative remedies and then file a new case properly presenting those claims.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction or temporary restraining order is denied.

DATED this 25th day of September, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

Paul G. Cassell

United States District Judge